## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEES JUN 27 PM 4 10 WESTERN DIVISION

United States of America,

ROBERT R. DI CLIO CLERK, U.S. DER OT. W.D. OF TN, MEMPHIS

Plaintiff,

Civil No. 03-2824-V

v.

Fifty-Three (53) Firearms,

Defendants.

## SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to the consent and agreement of the parties, the following dates are established as the final dates for:

INITIAL DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO Fed.R.Civ. P. 26(a)(1): Friday, July 15, 2005

JOINING PARTIES: Monday, July 25, 2005

AMENDING PLEADINGS: Monday, July 25, 2005

INITIAL MOTIONS TO DISMISS: Monday, August 22, 2005

COMPLETING ALL DISCOVERY: Monday, September 26, 2005

- (a) DOCUMENT PRODUCTION: Monday, September 26, 2005
- (b) DEPOSITIONS, INTERROGATORIES, AND REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS: Monday, September 26, 2005
- (c) EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE (Rule 26): N/A

FILING DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS: Monday, October 24, 2005

24

## OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS:

No depositions may be scheduled to occur after the discovery cutoff date. All motions, requests for admissions, or other filing that require a response must be filed sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff date to enable opposing counsel to respond by the time permitted by the Rules prior to that date.

Motions to compel discovery are to be filed and served by the discovery deadline or within 30 days of the default or the service of the response, answer, or objection, which is the subject of the motion, if the default occurs within 30 days of the discovery deadline, unless the time for filing of such motion is extended for good cause shown, or the objection to the default, response, answer, or objection shall be waived.

This case is set for non-jury trial, and the trial is expected to last one (1) day.

This case is not appropriate for ADR.

The parties are reminded that pursuant to Local Rule 11(a)(1)(A), all motions, except motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12, 56, 59, and 60 shall be accompanied by a proposed order.

The opposing party may file a response to any motion filed in this matter. Neither party may file an additional reply, however, without leave of the court. If a party believes that a reply is necessary, it shall file a motion for leave to file a reply accompanied by a memorandum setting forth the reasons for which a reply is required.

This order has been entered after consultation with trial counsel pursuant to notice. Absent good cause shown, the scheduling dates set by this order will not be modified or extended.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 25 day of June, 2005.

DIANE K. VESCOVO

United States Magistrate Judge

APPROVED:

TERRELL L. HARRIS United States Attorney

By:

Christopher E. Cotten Assistant United States Attorney

Counsel for Plaintiff

Jake E. Erwin (CEC W/premising)

Counsel for Claimant

Robert Shelby



## **Notice of Distribution**

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 24 in case 2:03-CV-02824 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on June 28, 2005 to the parties listed.

Christopher E. Cotten U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 167 N. Main St. Ste. 800 Memphis, TN 38103

Jacob E. Erwin WAGERMAN LAW FIRM 200 Jefferson Avenue Ste. 1313 Memphis, TN 38103

Honorable Diane Vescovo US DISTRICT COURT